

## You will need to produce an Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA) if:

- You are developing a new policy, strategy, or service
- You are making changes that will affect front-line services
- You are reducing budgets, which may affect front-line services
- You are changing the way services are funded and this may impact the quality of the service and who can access it
- You are making a decision that could have a different impact on different groups of people
- You are making staff redundant or changing their roles

Guidance notes on how to complete an EqIA and sign off process are available on the Hub under Equality and Diversity. You must read the <u>guidance notes</u> and ensure you have followed all stages of the EqIA approval process. Section 2 of the template requires you to undertake an assessment of the impact of your proposals on groups with protected characteristics. Borough profile data and other sources of statistical information on each group can be found on the Harrow hub, within the section entitled: Equality Impact Assessment - <u>Borough profile data</u> and other sources of information to help you complete your EqIA template.

Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA)					
Type of Decision:	Cabinet C Portfolio holder © C	Other (state)			
Title of Proposal	Amendment to Council Tax Premiums	Date EqIA created 12.9.18 Reviewed after online consultation ended 29.11.2018			
Value of savings to be made (if applicable):	N/A				
Name and job title of completing/lead Officer	Lynn Allaker Revenues Service Manager				
Directorate/ Service responsible	ate/ Service responsible				
Organisational approval					
EqIA approved by Directorate Equality	Name	Signature			
Task Group (DETG) Chair	Alex Dewsnap	Tick this box to indicate that you have approved this EqIA			
		Date of approval 21/12/2018			

## 1. Summary of proposal, impact on groups with protected characteristics and mitigating actions (to be completed after you have completed sections 2 - 5)

a) What is your proposal? Central Government is proposing to issue updated regulations to allow local authority to increase the level of Council Tax premium where properties have been left empty and unoccupied for more than 2 years. This will impact on owners of 2<sup>nd</sup> properties, Housing Associations, LB Harrow Housing department, property developers.

The proposal covers the following:

- Implement 100% premium on long term empty properties where the property has been empty for between 2 and 5 years from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2019
- Implement 200% premium on long term empty properties where the property has been empty for between 5 and 10 years from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2020
- Implement 300% premium on long term empty properties where the property has been empty for more than 10 years from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2021

Initial calculations suggested that only 79 properties would be impacted by this change but only 48 from the 1<sup>st</sup> April 2019 which would generate an additional income of just under £200,000. The determination needs to be made by members as part of the tax setting process so that the correct demands can be issued by 1<sup>st</sup> April 2019 and any additional income considered for the budget calculations for 2019-20

b)	Summarise the	impact	of your	proposal or	n groups wit	h protected	I characteristics
----	---------------	--------	---------	-------------	--------------	-------------	-------------------

None identified

c) Summarise any potential negative impact(s) identified and mitigating actions

N/a

protected chara information, con what impact (if a	impact d to undertake a detailed analysis of the impact of your proposals on groups with cteristics. You should refer to borough profile data, equalities data, service user sultation responses and any other relevant data/evidence to help you assess and explain any) your proposal(s) will have on each group. Where there are gaps in data, you should boxes below and what action (if any), you will take to address this in the future.	impact y with prot relevant proposa	our proposatected chara box to indi I will have a	ence tell you al may have acteristics? ( cate whether positive imp jor), or no im	on groups Click the ryour pact,
Protected characteristic	For <b>each</b> protected characteristic, explain in detail what the evidence is suggesting and the impact of your proposal (if any). Click the appropriate box on the right to indicate the		Negative impact		ಕ
	outcome of your analysis.	Positive impact	Minor	Major	No impact
Age	This proposal is only affecting empty properties. Where the property has been left empty as the resident is receiving care which may be due to age then this policy change will not affect them as there is a council tax exemption which applies Council Tax is only payable by persons over the age of 18. Age is not held on the Council Tax system unless noted as an indicator of vulnerability. This policy is dependent on the length of time the property has remained empty not on a council tax payers age.				$\boxtimes$
Disability	This proposal is only affecting empty properties where they have been empty for 2 years or more. This should allow any customers who need additional support to sell or rent their 2 <sup>nd</sup> property time to get this in place. This policy is dependent on the length of time the property has remained empty not on a council tax payers disability. A customers disability will only be held on the Council tax records if they are:-  a) Claiming a disabled band reduction which is only applicable on occupied properties b) Claiming a discount as a carer of someone else in the property which is only applicable on occupied properties c) Claiming a discount as severely mentally impaired which is only applicable on occupied properties				
Gender reassignment	This proposal is only affecting empty properties. This policy is dependent on the length of time the property has remained empty not on a council tax payers gender reassignment. This information is not held on the Council tax records.				$\boxtimes$

Marriage and Civil Partnership	This proposal is only affecting empty properties where they have been empty for 2 years or more. Whilst a council taxpayers title may be held on our records, this policy is dependent on the length of time the property has remained empty not on a council tax payers marital or civil partnership status.		
Pregnancy and Maternity	This proposal is only affecting empty properties where they have been empty for 2 years or more. This policy is dependent on the length of time the property has remained empty not on a council tax payers pregnancy or maternity status. This information is not held on the Council tax records unless it has been noted as indicator of vulnerability.		
Race/ Ethnicity	This proposal is only affecting empty properties where they have been empty for 2 years or more. This policy is dependent on the length of time the property has remained empty not on a council tax payers race/ethnicity. This information is not held on the Council tax records.		$\boxtimes$
Religion or belief	This proposal is only affecting empty properties where they have been empty for 2 years or more. This policy is dependent on the length of time the property has remained empty not on a council tax payers religion/belief This information is not held on the Council tax records.		$\boxtimes$
Sex	This proposal is only affecting empty properties where they have been empty for 2 years or more This policy is dependent on the length of time the property has remained empty not on a council tax payers sex.		$\boxtimes$
Sexual Orientation	This proposal is only affecting empty properties where they have been empty for 2 years or more. This policy is dependent on the length of time the property has remained empty not on a council tax payers sexual orientation. This information is not held on the Council tax records.		$\boxtimes$

	onsidering what else is happening withion groups with protected characteristic	n the Council and Harrow as a whole, cos?	ould your	proposals
☐ Yes No				
If you clicked the Yes box, which space below	h groups with protected characteristics could	be affected and what is the potential impact? In	nclude deta	ails in the
3. Actions to mitigate/remo	ove negative impact			
Only complete this section if		hat your proposals may have a negative imples, please complete sections 4 and 5.	pact on gr	oups with
		mitigating actions and steps taken to ensure the so state how you will monitor the impact of you		
State what the negative impact(s) are for <b>each</b> group, identified in section 2. In addition, you should also consider and state potential risks associated with your proposal.	Measures to mitigate negative impact (provide details, including details of and additional consultation undertaken/to be carried out in the future). If you are unable to identify measures to mitigate impact, please state so and provide a brief explanation.	What action (s) will you take to assess whether these measures have addressed and removed any negative impacts identified in your analysis? Please provide details. If you have previously stated that you are unable to identify measures to mitigate impact please state below.	Deadline date	Lead Officer

## 4. Public Sector Equality Duty

How does your proposal meet the Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) to:

- 1. Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Equality Act 2010
- 2. Advance equality of opportunity between people from different groups
- 3. Foster good relations between people from different groups

## Include details in the space below

An online consultation was carried out between 29<sup>th</sup> October 2018 and 23<sup>rd</sup> November 2018. Two responses were received, 1 from the GLA and 1 from a Harrow Council Tax payer.

"The GLA supports the proposals for the revised long term empty property premiums proposed by Harrow in its consultation. The Mayor is committed to tackling London's housing crisis; as such, the GLA has welcomed the additional flexibilities provided to billing authorities by central government, with the aim of bringing more empty homes back into use.

The Council has proposed these changes based on the clear rationale that the increases in the premium are intended to change behaviour, in order to reduce the number of properties that are 'long-term empty'. The borough faces a housing shortage, as do other areas of London. In addition, the Council notes that empty homes tend to attract anti-social behaviour and consequent additional costs to public services

Whilst reducing the number of empty homes is clearly the primary objective of the premium, the GLA has previously encouraged billing authorities to consider the impact of the additional revenue councils can raise from the current 50% empty homes premium, when determining schemes for council tax support. If the increases in the premium permitted under the new legislation result in significant additional revenues, we recommend the Council should take these into account if, in the future, it is reviewing changes to its council tax support scheme".

"They agreed with the current policy that if a property is not in use as anyone's sole or main residence that they should pay more council tax They agreed with the proposal that if it has not been used for between 2-5 years the Council Tax charge should be 200% They agreed with the proposal that if a property has not been used for between 5-10 years the Council Tax charge should be 300% They agreed with the proposal that if a property has not been used for over 10 years the Council Tax charge should be 400%

Their general comments were:-

I believe that if the property is left empty for over 5 years then there should be an investigation on the reasons behind this and also a complete inspection should be done on the property to investigate its state.

if it is found that the property is not in a specified standards and the owner is not putting any effort to maintain the property then some sort of order must be issued to make the owner sell the property to either a property developer or housing agency or at a cheaper rate to first time buyer so that they can get the property upto standard and either rent it out or sold to others who need help to get on property ladder"

As only 1 respondent was received I have not summarised their responses to the protected characteristics but as can be seen from Part 2 this policy is not based on any protected characteristics and the numbers potentially affected are less than 0.01% of the total Council Tax properties in the borough.

5. Outcome of the Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA) click the box that applies
Outcome 1  No change required: the EqIA has not identified any potential for disproportionate impact and all opportunities to advance equality of opportunity are being addressed
Outcome 2 Adjustments to remove/mitigate negative impacts identified by the assessment, or to better advance equality, as stated in section 3&4
Outcome 3  This EqIA has identified missed opportunities to advance equality and/or foster good relations. However, it is still reasonable to continue with the activity. Outline the reasons for this and the information used to reach this decision in the space below.